

Ethics of Scientific Publications in the Journal "Bulletin of the Institute of Economics of the Russian Academy of Sciences"

The following standards of conduct should be observed as generally accepted principles of publication of research in the journal "Bulletin of the Institute of Economics of the Russian Academy of Sciences". Standards of ethics of scientific publications of our journal are developed on the basis of the Declaration "Ethical Principles of Scientific Publications", adopted at the General Meeting of the Association of Scientific Editors and Publishers (ASEP, or ANRI) on May 20, 2016.

STANDARDS OF CONDUCT OF AUTHORS

Requirements for Manuscripts

Authors of the article about the original research, provide reliable results of the work done and an objective discussion of the significance of the research. The work should contain enough details and bibliographic references for possible reproduction of the research. False or knowingly erroneous statements are perceived as unethical conduct and are unacceptable.

Originality and Plagiarism

Authors should ensure that the original work is presented in its entirety. In case of using the works or statements of other Authors, appropriate bibliographic references should be provided. Plagiarism can exist in many forms: representing someone else's work as an author's work, copying or paraphrasing essential parts of others' works (without attribution), claiming their own rights to the results of others' research. Plagiarism in all its forms is unethical and unacceptable.

Multiplicity and Simultaneity of Publications

The authors guarantee the provision of completely original work. The author should not publish a manuscript, mostly devoted to the same research, in more than one journal as an original publication. The author should not submit a previously published article to another journal.

The publication of certain types of articles (for example, translated articles) in more than one journal is allowed in some cases, subject to certain conditions. Authors and the Editorial Board of interested journals must agree to a secondary publication, which necessarily contains the same data and interpretations as in the

originally published work. The bibliography of primary work should be presented in the second publication as well.

Recognition of Primary Sources

Recognition of the contribution of others is always necessary. Authors are obliged to refer to publications that are relevant to the performance of the submitted work. Data, obtained during private conversation, correspondence or in the process of discussion with the third parties, should not be used or presented without the explicit written permission of the source.

Authorship of Publication

Authors of the publication can be only persons, who have made a significant contribution to the formation of the concept of work, to the development, to the implementation or interpretation of the presented study. The author must make sure that all Co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the work and agreed to submit it for publication.

Significant Errors in Published Works

If the Author finds material errors or inaccuracies in the publication, the Author must notify the Editorial Board and interact with it in order to remove the publication or correct errors as soon as possible. If the Editorial Board or the Publisher have received information from a third party that the publication contains material errors, the Author must withdraw the work or rectify the errors as soon as possible.

STANDARDS OF CONDUCT OF THE EDITORIAL BOARD

Decision on Publication

The Editorial Board of the journal “Bulletin of the Institute of Economics of the Russian Academy of Sciences” makes decisions on publication itself, taking into consideration the opinion of the Reviewers. The basis of the solution is the scientific significance of the work, which is under consideration.

Confidentiality

The Editorial Board of the journal “Bulletin of the Institute of Economics of the Russian Academy of Sciences”, without necessity, does not disclose information about the accepted manuscript to third parties, except for Authors, Reviewers, possible Reviewers and the Publisher.

Supervision of Publications

The Editorial Board, having convincing evidence that the statements or conclusions, that are presented in the publication, are wrong, should take measures to promptly notify of changes or of removal of the publication.

STANDARDS OF CONDUCT OF REVIEWERS

Impact on Decisions of the Editorial Board

Reviewing is a necessary link in scientific communications. Review helps the Editorial Board to decide on publication, and it helps the Author - to improve the quality of work. The Publisher shares the opinion that all scientists, who want to contribute to the publication, are required to fulfill substantial work on the review of the manuscript.

Diligence

The reviewer, feeling that he does not have enough qualifications to review the manuscript or time for quick work, must notify the Editorial Board about that.

Confidentiality

The manuscript, received for review, should be regarded as a confidential document.

Objectivity

]

The reviewer must give an objective assessment. Personal criticism of the author is unacceptable. Reviewers should clearly and convincingly express their opinion.

Recognition of primary sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published works, which correspond to the topic and, which are not included in the bibliography of the manuscript. For any statement (observation, conclusion or argument) published earlier, the manuscript must have a corresponding bibliographic reference. The Reviewer should also draw the attention of the Editorial Board to the discovery of a significant similarity or coincidence between the manuscript in question and any other published work, that is in the scientific competence of the Reviewer.

STANDARDS OF CONDUCT OF THE PUBLISHER

The Publisher must follow the principles and procedures that facilitate the

implementation of ethical duties by the Editorial Board, by the Reviewers and by the Authors of the journal “Bulletin of the Institute of Economics of the Russian Academy of Sciences”, in accordance with this ethics.

The Publisher must support the Editorial Board in reviewing claims to the ethical aspects of the published material and help to interact with other journals, if this contributes to the fulfillment of the duties by the Editorial Board.

The Publisher must provide appropriate specialized legal support (opinion or advice), in case of necessity.